CENTER *for* WRITING EXCELLENCE



How to Locate a Writ or Petition History and Create a GREENBOOK Citation for Civil Appellate Cases in Texas

Professor Melissa Shultz

I. What is a Writ or Petition History and Why Does it Matter

The petition (and, before 1997, the writ) history of a case identifies the action (or inaction) taken by the Texas Supreme Court on a particular Court of Appeals' opinion.¹ Moreover, and unique to *civil* cases² in Texas, the petition history of a particular case can indicate the **weight** of authority that a particular appellate opinion has. In this way, an appellate decision that the Texas Supreme Court has determined is correct—both in terms of its result and application of the law (petition refused)—has more weight than an appellate decision that simply presents no error requiring reversal (petition denied).³ In fact, when the Texas Supreme Court refuses to hear a Texas civil Court of Appeals' petition, "[t]he court of appeals' opinion in the case has the same precedential value as an opinion of the Supreme Court."⁴ In other words, the writ or petition history of a civil case not only shows how the appeal was handled but also, in some instances, signals the weight of the appellate opinion.

II. How to a Find Writ or Petition History

Westlaw's case history tab is one of the online tools you can use to locate a Texas case's writ or petition history. Below are step-by-step instructions for locating subsequent case histories using Westlaw. In addition to Westlaw, you can use LexisAdvance's Shepard's report to find a case's subsequent history or even find the information on the Texas Judicial

¹ THE GREENBOOK: TEXAS RULES OF FORM (Tex. Law Rev. Ass'n, 13th ed. 2015).

² The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals' (the highest criminal court in Texas) decision on a petition "do[es] not indicate the [Texas Court of Criminal Appeal's] approval or disapproval of the lower court decision and do not have any precedential effect." Andrew T. Solomon, *The Texas Supreme Court's Petition System: A System in Need of Reexamination*, 53 S. TEX. L. REV. 698 (2012).

³ Katy Stein Badeaux, *Texas Subsequent History Table Ceases Publication*, Nota Bene Blogspot (Oct. 23, 2014), http://notabeneuh.blogspot.com/2014/10/texas-subsequent-history-table-ceases.html. ⁴ Soloman, *supra* note 2.

¹⁹⁰¹ Main Street • Dallas, Texas 75201 • www.lawschool.untsystem.edu

Branch's website (<u>www.txcourts.gov</u>) by reviewing the weekly orders from the Texas Supreme Court (available from 1997–present).

Step 1: Type the citation for the case for which you need the writ or petition history into the search box.



Step 2: Make sure Westlaw is set to search only Texas state cases.

iPad 중 < > ∭	11:05 АМ € 1.next.westlaw	.com	c	* 100% 100%	D Ma	ake
OnePass	lawschool.westlaw.com - The mos	comprehensive ©	Small v. McMaster Ca	9 History ~	su ₩ ★	re estla\ onlv
THOMSON REUTERS	All Content 352	S.W.3d 280		✓ Texas	per Tex	rusing xas
					sta ca	ate se lav

Step 3: Once you pull up the correct case, click on the "history" tab of the Westlaw toolbar.



<u>Step 4</u>: Select the case citation for which you want to review the writ or petition history.

	SHU	LTZ MELISS	A 🗸 🧰 Folders 🗸	History ~	★ Favorites → 🌲 Alerts
THOMSON REUTERS	All Content	Enter term	s, citations, databases, anythi	ng 🗸 Texas	Advanced
Small v. McMaster Court of Appeals of Texas, Houston	(14th Dist.). October 20, 201	11 352 S.W.3	d 280 <i>(Approx. 11 pages)</i>		
Document Filings (6)	Negative Treatment (0)	History (2)	Citing References (71) -	Table of Author	ities Powered by KeyCite
KeyCite. View: All History	Graphic: Hide	Reset -	+		1 item selected 🤞 😑 🔹 🚺 🚺
Direct History (2) Select All A. 1. Small v. McMaster 352 S.W.3d 280, Tex.AppHous Dist.), Oct 20, 2011, (NO. 14-0 rehearing overruled (Nov 16, 20 denied (Feb 17, 2012), rehearin review denied (Mar 30, 2012) Appeal After Remand B. 2. McMaster v. Small 2014 WL 950471, Tex.AppHou Mar. 11, 2014, (NO. 14-13-0006)	s. (14 Dist.), 907CV) 11), review 9 of petition for s. (14 Dist.), 99-CV)		A Small v. McMaster 352 S.W.3d 280 Oct 20, 2011 Tex.AppHous. (14 Dist.) rehearing orwenled Nov 16, 2011 review denied Feb 17, 2012 rehearing of petition for review der Mar 30, 2012	nied	B McMaster v. Small 2014 WL 950471 Mar 11, 2014 Tex.AppHous. (14 Dist.) Appeal After Remand

Step 5: Review the subsequent history relevant to the case citation for which you are searching.



CENTER *for* WRITING EXCELLENCE

<u>Step 6</u>: Identify the relevant writ or petition history by comparing the Westlaw history with the writ or petition history chart in the GREENBOOK or with the chart below:

Α			
Sma 352 S	V. McMast W.3d 280	er Oct 20, 2011	
Tex.A	AppHous. (14 Dist.)	

rehearing overruled Nov 16, 2011 review denied Feb 17, 2012 rehearing of cetition for review denied Mar 30, 2012

Notation on Petitions (Abbreviations)	Rule Defining Notation	Meaning
Pelition refused. (pet ref d)	Tex. R. App. R. 56.1(c)	The Supreme Court determines — after a response has been filed or requested — the he court of appeals' judgment is correct and the legal principles announced in the opinior are likewise correct. The court of appeals opinion has the same precedential value as an opinion of the Supreme Court.
Petition denied. (pet. denied)	Tex. R. App. P. 56.1[b](1]	The Supreme Court is not satisfied that the opinion of the court of appeals has correctly declared the law in all respects, but deter- mines that the petition presents no error that requires reversal or that is of such impor- tance to the jurisprudence of the state as to require correction.
Petition dismissed, want of jurisdiction. (pet. dism'd w.o.j.)	Tex. R. App. P. 56.1(b)(2)	The Supreme Court lacks jurisdiction to con- sider the petition.
Petition dismissed. (pet. dism'd)	Tex. R. App. P. 60.6 The Supreme Court can dismiss a petition for failure to follow any of the rules of procedure, or by motion of the parties.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal.
Petition withdrawn. (pet. withdrawn)	The petitioner by motion, or the parties by joint motion, may request the petition be withdrawn. The grant of the motion results in the petition's withdrawal.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal.
Petition abated. (pet. abated)	Tex. R. App. P. 8.2 A bankruptcy suspends the appeal.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal, but may do so if the petition is reinstated.
Petition struck. (pet. struck)	Tex. R. App. P. 53.9	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal, but has struck the peti- tion for failure to comply with court rules.
Petition filed. (pet. filed)	Tex. R. App. P. 53.7 The petition has been timely filed, but the Court has taken no action on it.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal.
No petition history. (no. pet. hist.)	Tex. R. App. P. 53.7 No petition has been filed, but the time for filing has not yet run.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal.
No petition. (no pet.)	No petition has been filed, and the time for filing has expired.	The Supreme Court has not reviewed the merits of the appeal.

James Hambleton, Notations for Subsequent Histories in Civil Cases, 65 TEX. B.J. 684, 699 (2002).

Step 7: Capture the writ or petition history you need for your citation.



Step 8: Double check your work by following these sub-steps:

Step 8A: Type the citation for the case for which you need the writ or petition history into the search box.

		s	HULTZ MELISS	A 👻 🧰 Folders 🛩	🕙 History 👻 ★		
	euters 'LAW ~	All Content	352 S.W.30	d 280	✓ Texas	Type the citation the case here	on for
Small v. M Court of Appe	cMaster als of Texas, Houst	ton (14th Dist.). October 20.	2011 352 S.W.3d	d 280 (Approx. 11 pages)			
Document	Filings (6)	Negative Treatment (0)	History (2)	Citing References (71) -	Table of Authorities		
					Go to 👻 🤞		
9	Original Image o	f 352 S.W.3d 280 (PDF)			Brief it		
		35	2 S.W.3d 280				
		Court o	Appeals of Texa	as,			
		Hous	ton (14th Dist.).				
	John W. SM	ALL, Aiskel Alvare	z Small, Robe	ert Alan "Bob" Small,	Small		
	Interests, L	td., Star Instrumen	s, Inc. and Si	mall Family Interests	, Inc.,		
		А	ppellants,				
			v.				
		Murriah S. M	CMASTER, A	Appellee.			
		No. 14	-09-01080-CV	v.			
		0	ct. 20, 2011.				
		Rehearing O	verruled Nov. 16	6, 2011.			

Step 8B: Once you pull up the correct case, click on the "citing references" tab of the Westlaw toolbar. Clicking on this tab will open up a list of all cases that cite to the case for which you originally searched.

THOMSON REUTERS	All Conte	nt	E	Enter terms, citations, databases, anything	✓ Texas	٩	Advanced	≡,∕
Small v. McMaster Court of Appeals of Texas, Houston (14th Dist	.). O	ctober 20, 2011	352 S.W.3d 280 (Approx 11 pages)	~			B
Document Filings (6)	Negative	e Treat	ment (0) Hist	tory (2) Citing References (71)	Table of Authorities	Powered by KeyCite		
KevCite Citing References (71)	1-20	Sort By: D	epth: Highest First	1	lo items selected	∢ = .	
-	-	0						
IEW:	<<	\cup	Treatment	Title	Date	Туре	Depth 🝷	Headnote(s)
ses	22	\Box	Examined by	y 1. Bailey v. Thompson 37 37 2012 WL 4883219, 77+, Tex.AppHous. (14 Dist.) Appellant. Jimmy O. Bailey appeals the trial court's judgment establishing that an informal marriage existed between Jimmy and appellee Christy Hoover Thompson. Jimmy also	Oct. 16, 2012	Case		6 12 14 S.W.3d
I Court Orders	1							
ninistrative Decisions & Guidance	2							
ondary Sources	18							
pellate Court Documents	21							
al Court Documents	7							
l Results	71							
ARROW				rhompson. Jimmy also				



nin<mark>g o</mark>f of that cite se for which you originally searched

CENTER *for* WRITING EXCELLENCE

Step 8E: Compare the writ or petition history recorded in the citation in the selected case with the writ or petition history you identified.



III. How to Generate a Cite with a Writ/Petition History

